

# DIFC Court of Appeal Affirms Enforceability of Foreign Interim Arbitral Awards (Neal v Nadir)

July 8, 2024

*First published on LexisNexis on 04 June 2024 at:  
<https://www.lexisnexis.co.uk/legal/news/difc-court-of-appeal-affirms-enforceability-of-foreign-interim-arbitral-awards-neal-v-nadir>*

## Mini-summary

In the case of Neal v Nadir [2024] DIFC A 001, the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC) Court of Appeal upheld the enforceability of foreign interim arbitral awards, reinforcing the DIFC's commitment to arbitration and international legal standards. The court held that interim awards, which are not final, can still be recognized and enforced within the DIFC jurisdiction. This decision is significant for practitioners in international arbitration and commercial law, as it underscores the DIFC Courts' supportive stance on arbitration and offers clarity on the enforceability of interim measures.

## What are the practical implications of this case?

The practical implications of Neal v Nadir are far-reaching for practitioners in international arbitration and commercial law. By affirming the enforceability of foreign interim arbitral awards, the DIFC Court of Appeal enhances legal certainty and predictability for parties engaged in cross-

border arbitration. This decision reassures international businesses that interim measures granted in arbitration proceedings abroad will be respected and enforced in the DIFC, thus bolstering the attractiveness of the DIFC as a hub for international dispute resolution.

For arbitration practitioners, this ruling underscores the importance of obtaining interim measures in jurisdictions that are supportive of arbitration. The court stated, “Recognition or enforcement of an arbitral award, irrespective of the State or jurisdiction in which it was made, may be refused by the DIFC Court only on the grounds specified in that Article,” reinforcing the robustness of the enforcement regime. Furthermore, the court noted, “few would regard it as desirable that awards of this kind should not be enforceable,” highlighting the modern view on interim relief.

Commercial lawyers will also find this decision relevant when advising clients on the risks and benefits of interim relief in arbitration. The ruling provides a clearer understanding of the enforceability of interim awards, which can be crucial in maintaining the status quo or preventing harm during the pendency of arbitration proceedings.

Overall, the decision enhances the credibility and effectiveness of the DIFC as a venue for enforcing arbitral awards, both interim and final, making it a pivotal case for practitioners involved in international arbitration and commercial disputes.

### **What was the background?**

The dispute in *Neal v Nadir* originated from a contractual agreement between the parties, which included an arbitration clause stipulating that any disputes would be resolved through arbitration. During the arbitration proceedings, an interim award was issued by the arbitral tribunal in favor of Neal, granting certain provisional measures to preserve assets and

maintain the status quo until the final award was rendered.

Nadir challenged the enforceability of this interim award in the DIFC Courts, arguing that interim awards should not be enforceable as they are not final and binding decisions. Neal, on the other hand, contended that the interim award was essential for protecting his interests during the arbitration and sought the DIFC Courts' assistance in enforcing it.

The primary issue before the DIFC Court of Appeal was whether a foreign interim arbitral award could be recognized and enforced in the DIFC jurisdiction. The court had to consider the relevant legal principles and international conventions applicable to the enforcement of arbitral awards, particularly focusing on the New York Convention and the DIFC Arbitration Law.

### **What did the court decide?**

The DIFC Court of Appeal ruled in favor of Neal, affirming the enforceability of foreign interim arbitral awards within the DIFC jurisdiction. The court held that the interim award, despite not being a final adjudication of the dispute, met the criteria for recognition and enforcement under the DIFC Arbitration Law and the New York Convention. The court emphasized, "An interim measure is any temporary measure, whether in the form of an award or in another form, made by the Arbitral Tribunal at any time prior to the issuance of the award by which the dispute is to be finally decided."

The court emphasized that the purpose of interim measures in arbitration is to provide immediate relief and prevent irreparable harm, aligning with international arbitration principles. By recognizing and enforcing such awards, the DIFC Courts uphold the integrity and efficacy of the arbitral process. "The purpose of interim measures in arbitration is to provide immediate relief and prevent irreparable harm," the court stated, highlighting the critical role of interim

measures in arbitration proceedings.

The decision was grounded in the interpretation of the DIFC Arbitration Law, which allows for the recognition and enforcement of interim measures issued by an arbitral tribunal. The court also referenced international jurisprudence and academic commentary supporting the enforceability of interim awards to bolster its decision.

This ruling sets a precedent for the DIFC Courts and reinforces the jurisdiction's reputation as an arbitration-friendly forum. It clarifies that interim awards, which play a critical role in arbitration proceedings, can be effectively enforced in the DIFC, providing greater assurance to parties seeking interim relief in international arbitration.

## **Case details**

**Court:** Court of Appeal, Dubai International Financial Centre Courts

**Judge:** Chief Justice Tun Zaki Azmi, Justice Sir Jeremy Cooke, and Justice Andrew Moran

**Date of judgment:** 19/3/2024

**Author:** Mahmoud Abuwasel

**Title:** Partner – Disputes

**Email:** [mabuwasel@waselandwasel.com](mailto:mabuwasel@waselandwasel.com)

**Profile:**

<https://waselandwasel.com/about/mahmoud-abuwasel/>

**Lawyers and consultants.**

Tier-1 services since 1799.

[www.waselandwasel.com](http://www.waselandwasel.com)

[business@waselandwasel.com](mailto:business@waselandwasel.com)