UAE Supreme Court Judgment on Constitutional and Sharia Limits of Tax Penalties

October 23, 2023

The UAE Federal Supreme Court recently issued a judgment, shedding light on the formulation of tax penalties within the framework of constitutional and Sharia law. This judgment reveals the legal parameters for formulating and imposing tax penalties, offering a perspective on the alignment of religious and constitutional principles within the tax legislative arena.

Nuances of 'Delay Penalty'

Specifically, the judgment states:

"In addition to the foregoing, the phrase 'delay penalties' finds its basis in the law and cabinet decisions and is not subject to legal interest provisions and therefore is not restricted by the maximum limit stipulated therein."

This assertion underscores a significant principle in the formulation and application of tax penalties.

The absence of a restriction by the maximum limit in the context of delay penalties is particularly noteworthy. It implies a strategic flexibility within the legal framework to impose penalties that are not bound by a predefined upper limit, thereby providing a potent tool to enforce fiscal compliance and deter delayed payments. This approach aligns with the overarching legal and fiscal strategy, ensuring that the penalties serve not just as a recuperative measure for

delayed financial inputs but also as a deterrent against potential future delays.

Moreover, the judgment articulates a logical consequence of an alternative approach, stating:

“Saying otherwise leads to an illogical result, which entails rewarding the people who are late in paying the installments due on them.”

This perspective underscores the inherent risk in providing leniency or capping penalties for delayed payments, as it could inadvertently incentivize non-compliance and disrupt the fiscal equilibrium that the penalties seek to maintain.

Sharia Compliance and Constitutionality of Taxes

The Supreme Court reiterates that the constitution distinctly establishes Islam as the official religion of the UAE, with Islamic Sharia being a principal source of legislation. The judgment states:

“The constitution has established that Islam is the official religion of the Union and Islamic Sharia is the main source of legislation therein.”

A pivotal aspect highlighted by the Federal Supreme Court is the definitive and unalterable nature of Sharia rulings. The jurisprudence underscores that these rulings are:

“…the definitive Sharia rulings in their proof and indication. Only these rulings are not subject to interpretation, and they represent the total principles of Islamic Sharia and its established origins that do not allow interpretation or change.”

This reflects a principle of stability and constancy within the legal framework, ensuring that the Sharia principles, which are deeply rooted in religious and moral values, remain steadfast and unyielding amidst the variations of time and

place.

The judgment further necessitates that:

“…the principles of Islamic Sharia do not conflict with the other principles stated in the constitution, including imposing taxes on residents in the state when the conditions for imposing them are met.”

This ensures that while the Sharia principles provide a moral and ethical compass, the legislative and fiscal policies, such as tax imposition, are formulated and implemented within a framework that is consistent, fair, and in alignment with both religious and constitutional principles.

This dual adherence can be likened to certain European jurisdictions, such as Germany, where the church tax (Kirchensteuer) is implemented, intertwining fiscal policy with religious adherence, albeit in a different manner and context.

Symbiosis of Civil and Administrative Law

A critical point of emphasis is the role and approach of the administrative judiciary in applying and developing civil law rules, especially in the context of public law relationships. The judgment highlights that:

“While the rules of civil law were established to govern the ties of private law, the administrative judiciary has to apply from them what is compatible with the ties of public law and has to develop them according to their nature.”

This assertion underscores the administrative judiciary’s pivotal role in ensuring that the application and development of civil law rules are not only compatible with public law relationships but also evolve in accordance with their intrinsic nature. This ensures a dynamic and adaptable legal framework that can effectively navigate through various legal

scenarios and challenges, maintaining a balance between individual (private) and collective (public) interests.

In the United Kingdom, for example, administrative and civil law often intertwine, particularly in matters pertaining to public services and individual rights. The UK's approach to administrative law, especially in the context of judicial reviews, demonstrates how administrative judiciary can navigate through and even shape civil law rules, ensuring that the application of the law is both robust and adaptive to various legal and social contexts.

This approach, while distinct in its application from the UAE, provides a tangible example of how administrative and civil law can coexist and coalesce to form a legal framework that is both stable and adaptable, ensuring the fair and consistent application of the law across various domains and contexts.

Takeaway

The recent UAE Federal Supreme Court judgment provides taxpayers with specific logical frameworks that can be strategically leveraged in managing and resolving tax disputes.

One of the pivotal aspects to consider is the acknowledgment of the administrative judiciary's role in applying and developing civil law rules, particularly in the context of public law relationships.

Taxpayers, especially those engaged in disputes related to tax penalties, can utilize this logic in consideration of civil law rules.

Moreover, the judgment's emphasis on the permissibility of the legislator to organize the imposition of taxes and penalties in a manner that achieves the public good provides taxpayers with a logical framework to consider the application of any tax impositions or penalties.

Thus, the logic of the Supreme Court, when astutely applied, can serve as a valuable tool for taxpayers in navigating through and effectively resolving tax disputes across the various administrative and judicial channels.

Author: Mahmoud Abuwasel
Title: Partner – Disputes
Email: mabuwasel@waselandwasel.com
Profile: https://waselandwasel.com/about/mahmoud-abuwasel/

Lawyers and consultants.
Tier-1 services since 1799.
www.waselandwasel.com
business@waselandwasel.com