

War Series: Arbitration and the Tax on War Profits

August 1, 2024

On 15 June 1922, Gustave Ador rendered a significant arbitration award regarding the applicability of a tax on war profits, a decision that resonates through the corridors of international arbitration to this day. This case, arbitrated between the French and Spanish governments, addressed whether Spanish nationals residing in France were subject to the French law of 1 July 1916 on exceptional war profits or exempted by virtue of the Franco-Spanish convention of 7 January 1862. This award exemplifies the intricate interplay between **domestic tax laws** and **bilateral treaties**, a theme recurrent in modern-day international arbitration.

The Compromis Arbitral: Foundations of the Dispute

The arbitration agreement, or **compromis arbitral**, between France and Spain tasked the sole arbitrator, Ador, with resolving a critical issue: the applicability of the 1916 French tax law on Spanish nationals in France, against the backdrop of the 1862 treaty. The agreement underscored that Ador's decision would be final and binding, highlighting the **binding nature** of arbitral awards in international disputes.

Context and Historical Background

The 1862 treaty between France and Spain was a diplomatic effort to establish **mutual tax exemptions** for their nationals residing in each other's territories. This treaty aimed to shield foreign nationals from extraordinary taxes imposed during exceptional circumstances, such as war. Ador meticulously examined the treaty's intent and the historical

context, which played a pivotal role in his decision.

Treaty Interpretation and Legal Principles

Ador's analysis revolved around the interpretation of Article 4 of the 1862 treaty, which sought to balance the **principle of domicile** with **nationality-based exemptions**. The treaty exempted foreign nationals from extraordinary contributions tied to their nationality, while subjecting them to ordinary taxes based on domicile. This distinction was crucial in determining the applicability of the 1916 tax law.

The arbitrator dismissed the restrictive interpretation that the exemption was solely for civil war scenarios. He argued that the **broad language** of the treaty did not confine the exemption to such a narrow scope, instead covering any extraordinary contributions arising from exceptional circumstances, such as the global conflict of World War I.

Nature of the 1916 Tax Law

The French law of 1 July 1916 was enacted to address the extraordinary economic gains made during wartime, imposing a tax on **exceptional war profits**. Ador emphasized that this tax was not a standard commercial levy but a response to the extraordinary economic conditions created by the war. He underscored the law's exceptional nature, aligning it with the treaty's provisions exempting foreigners from such extraordinary taxes.

Ador highlighted the **transitory and exceptional character** of the tax, noting that it targeted only the excess profits generated due to the war, distinguishing it from regular commercial taxes. This differentiation was pivotal in concluding that the tax law fell within the treaty's exemption clause.

Equity and Fairness Considerations

The award also touched upon the **equity arguments** presented by France, which contended that it was unfair for Spanish nationals to benefit from the war without contributing to the extraordinary fiscal burdens. Ador acknowledged these concerns but emphasized that any perceived inequity should have been addressed by renegotiating or denouncing the 1862 treaty, a step France had not taken.

He pointed out that the legislative debates in France, particularly the statements by French officials, reinforced the view that the extraordinary tax was linked to national solidarity and patriotism. This further bolstered the argument that the tax was inherently tied to French nationals, not foreign residents.

Implications and Contemporary Relevance

Ador's award is a landmark in the realm of **international arbitration**, illustrating the delicate balance arbitrators must maintain between **state sovereignty, treaty obligations, and equitable considerations**. The principles elucidated in this award continue to influence contemporary arbitration, particularly in disputes involving **taxation and international treaties**.

The award underscores the importance of **clear treaty drafting** and the need for states to periodically review and update their international agreements to reflect evolving circumstances. It also highlights the role of arbitrators in navigating the **intersection of domestic laws and international obligations**, ensuring that justice is served while respecting the sovereign rights of nations.

In modern contexts, this case resonates in debates over **taxation of multinational corporations**, where treaties and domestic laws often collide. The principles of **fairness, equity, and the intent of treaties** remain central to resolving such disputes, making Ador's reasoning as relevant today as it

was a century ago.

Conclusion

Gustave Ador's arbitration award on the tax on war profits is a testament to the enduring relevance of principled arbitration. It demonstrates the critical role of historical context, treaty interpretation, and equitable considerations in resolving complex international disputes. As we navigate contemporary challenges in international arbitration, the wisdom embedded in this award provides valuable guidance for balancing the interests of states and the principles of justice.

Author: Mahmoud Abuwasel

Title: Partner – Disputes

Email: mabuwasel@waselandwasel.com

Profile:

<https://waselandwasel.com/about/mahmoud-abuwasel/>

Lawyers and consultants.

Tier-1 services since 1799.

www.waselandwasel.com

business@waselandwasel.com